IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO. 229 OF 2024 (PAR)

BETWEEN:

SRI. M.HARSHAVARDHANA
S/O. LATE MADAIAH.N,
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
R/O. NO. 520, 1ST 'A' CROSS,
32ND MAIN ROAD,
BANASHANKARI 3RD STAGE,
BENGALURU-560 085.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. DINESH.M.N., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. KUMARI SHRESTASHREE.S.
   D/O. SRI. HARSHAVARDHANA.M,
   AGED ABOUT 14 YEARS,
   REPRESENTED BY HER NATURAL GUARDIAN/MOTHER
   SMT. SHOBHA.R,
   W/O. SRI. HARSHAVARDHANA. M,
   AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
   R/O. NO. 106, 6TH CROSS,
   CHURCH STREET, NEW THIPPASANDRA,
   BENGALURU-560 075.

2. SMT. JAYALAKSHMI
   W/O. LATE MADAIAH.N,
   AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,

3. SRI. M.PRABHUDDHA VARDHANA
   S/O. LATE MADAIAH.N,
   AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS,

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: High Court of Karnataka

ALL ARE R/O. NO. 520,
1ST 'A' CROSS,
32ND MAIN ROAD,
BANASHANKARI 3RD STAGE,
BENGALURU-560 085.

4. SRI. PUTTASWAMY
S/O. LATE MADEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
R/O. PECHALLI VILLAGE,
KODIYALA POST,
ARAKERE HOBLI,
SRI RANGAPATTNA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 415.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR.T.M., ADVOCATE FOR R1)

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 96 READ WITH ORDER 41 RULE 1 OF THE CPC, 1908.

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Counsel for the appellant has appeared in person and sought time to comply with the office objections.

As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the appeal was listed before Registrar (P & H) on several dates and sufficient time was granted to comply with the office objections. Again the appeal was listed on 13.01.2025, on that day, finally two weeks time was granted to comply with the office objections, failing which the office was directed to list the

appeal under the caption 'for dismissal of appeal for non-compliance of office objections' on 24.01.2025.

The appeal is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal of appeal for non-compliance of office objections'. Despite granting sufficient time, the appellant has not complied with the office objections. It appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the appeal. In view of peremptory order dated 13.01.2025, the appeal is ***dismissed*** for non-compliance of office objections.

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

MRP
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 10