NC: 2025:KHC:10302
RSA No. 119 of 2014

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF MARCH, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

REGULAR SECOND APPEAL NO. 119 OF 2014 (DEC/INJ)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT KAVERAMMA
W/O LATE RAJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
RA/T BASVESHWARA COLONY,
BETTADAPURA VILLAGE AND POST,
PERIYAPATNA TALUK,
MYSORE DISTRICT-571 107.

2. SRI. MAHENDRA
S/O LATE RAJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
RA/T BASVESHWARA COLONY,
BETTADAPURA VILALGE AND POST,
PERIYAPATNA TALUK,
MYSORE DISTRICT-571 107.

3. SRI. GIRIGOWDA
S/O LATE RAJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
RA/T BASVESHWARA COLONY,
BETTADAPURA VILALGE AND POST,
PERIYAPATNA TALUK,
MYSORE DISTRICT-571 107.

4. SMT. VISHALAKSHI
W/O RAGHU
D/O RAJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
RA/T HOSA BEEDI,
SALIGRAMA VILLAGE & POST,
K.R. NAGAR TALUK
MYSORE DISTRICT-571 107.

Digitally signed by
GEETHAKUMARI
PARIJATTAYA S
Location: High
Court of Karnataka

5. SMT. NAGAMANI
W/O SWAMYGOWDA
D/O RAJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS
R/AT MUNDURU VILLAGE
SALIGRAMA HOBLI
K.R. NAGARA TLAUK
MYSORE DISTRICT-571 107.

…APPELLANTS

[BY SMT. NIRMALA JAYARAM, ADVOCATE AND
SRI MOHAN KUMAR M., ADVOCATE (ABSENT)]

AND:

1. SRI T.S.PUTTA DEVARAJE URS
S/O LATE T.D. SUBRAMANYA
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
R/AT BASAVESHWARA COLONY
BETTADAPURA VILLAGE & POST,
PERIYAPATNA TALUK
MYSORE DISTRICT-571 107.

2. SRI. JAYARAMA
S/O LATE KARIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
R/AT CHANNEGODANA KOPPALU
EXTENSION,
BETTADAPURA VILLAGE
PERIYAPATNA TALUK
MYSORE DISTRICT-571 109

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SUNEEL S.NARAYAN, ADVOCATE FOR R2 (PH)

THIS RSA IS FILED U/S. 100 OF CPC., AGAINST THE JUDGMENT & DECREE DATED 17.12.2013 PASSED IN R.A.NO.111/2012 ON THE FILE OF THE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC., HUNSUR, ALLOWING THE APPEAL AND SETTING ASIDE THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 03.11.2012 PASSED IN OS.NO.146/2001 ON THE FILE OF THE CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC., PERIYAPATNA.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR *ORDERS*, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI

ORAL JUDGMENT

On 01.03.2023, this Court had ordered notice on I.A.no.1/2021 filed to bring legal representatives of deceased respondent no.1 on record. Process fee was not paid. When matter was listed for further orders on 20.01.2024, this Court granted two weeks' time finally for payment of process fee. Till date, process fee is not paid. Though matter was passed over and called again, there is no representation for appellants. This would indicate that appellants are not diligently pursuing this appeal.

Appeal is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.

Sd/-
(RAVI V HOSMANI)
JUDGE

AV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 20