APHC010749142011

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3310]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 30887/2011

Between:

P.innyasi Rao, Rajamundry-522003 **...PETITIONER**

AND

The Uco Bank Rep By Its Zonal Manager Another and Others **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. P V N KIRAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. N V SUBBA RAJU

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:

> *"….to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction one more particularly in the nature of writ of Certiorari*
>
> *a. Calling for the records pertaining to Proceedings No.ZOH/PSD/1451/2010-11 dated 13.10.2010 of the 1st respondent and to quash the said Proceedings No.ZOH/PSD/1451/2010-11, dated 13.10.2010 and consequential revised Proceedings No.ZOH/PSD/1517/2010-11 dated 27.10.2010 of the 1st respondent*

and the order dated 20.07.2011 of the 2nd respondent confirming the orders of the 1st respondent as communicated through Proceedings No.PSD/DISC/SPS/2011-12/751 dated 22.07.2011,

b. And consequentially direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner back into service as Senior Manager (MMG Scale III Officer) under the Respondent UCO Bank with all consequential past and future service benefits attached to the said post with effect from his date of his dismissal and may pass….."

2. Heard Sri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel representing Sri P.V.N.Kiran Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms Indra, learned counsel representing Sri N.V.Subba Raju, learned counsel for the respondents.

3. Sri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel, who appeared for the petitioner sought time.

4. As observed from the docket proceedings, already several adjournments have already been granted at the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner. On an earlier occasion, this Court had specifically directed that no further time would be granted. Nevertheless, the matter was adjourned to today. Even on the previous occasion, i.e., on 06.03.2025, the learned counsel for the petitioner sought time. However, this Court, considering the request, posted the matter for hearing on 13.03.2025 as a last chance, with a clear condition that no further time would be granted. On 13.03.2025, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was directed to be listed today.

5. Even today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner once again sought time. It appears that the petitioner has not interested in prosecuting this Writ Petition.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed as non prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

_____

DR. K. MANMADHA RAO, J.

Dated: 20.03.2025
SNI

471

THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE K. MANMADHA RAO

W.P.No.30887 of 2011

Date: 20.03.2025
SNI