APHC010230762025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3457]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY ,THE SEVENTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 12178/2025

Between:

Vajrala Venkata Subba Reddy **...PETITIONER**

AND

The Union Of India **...RESPONDENT**

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. PADALA VENKATA SRIRAM REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent:

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION No.12178 OF 2025

ORDER:

1. Heard the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent. appearing for the Home.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction of the 2nd respondent in duly considering the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 15.02.2025.
3. The learned standing counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent submits that the petitioner is the owner of the disputed property, which was previously subjected to Debt Recovery Tribunal proceedings. These proceedings were initiated by the State Bank of India in connection with the outstanding dues owed by the petitioner. The property was subsequently sold through an auction process, allegedly conducted in a fraudulent manner under the direction of the Bank Manager and the Authorized Officer of the State Bank of India, in collusion with the auction purchaser.
4. The petitioner had challenged this transaction by way of filing an appeal before the Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal, but the appeal was dismissed. Following the dismissal of the appeal, the petitioner has lodged a complaint before the 2nd respondent, alleging cheating, fraud, and unlawful collusion between the Bank Manager and the auction

purchaser. It is further submitted that the petitioner had not initially raised this specific ground during the proceedings before the DRT.

5. Considering the submissions, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition, by granting liberty to the petitioner to file an additional affidavit raising all these grounds in the pending proceedings before the Debt Recovery Tribunal or Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal, if any.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.
7. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

_____

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Dt.07.05.2025
BV