APHC010182032025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3329]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY ,THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 9697/2025

Between:

Gunuguru Ramana Reddy **...PETITIONER**

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. CH C KRISHNA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 9697/2025

ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking following relief:

> *"to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd Respondent in not passing any order in the direction Petition filed to direct the Respondents not to dispossess the Petitioner from the Land in R.S.No.18/5 extent, Ac.0.80 cents; R.S.No.124/1, extent Ac.0.23 cents; R.S.No.124/2 extent, Ac.1.58 cents and R.S.No.124/4 extent, Ac.0.28 cents situated at Kommaneturu Village, Gudur Mandal now Tirupathi District Erstwhile SPSR Nellore District along with the Revision Petition on 02.04.2024 is arbitrary, illegal, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the Respondents 2 to 6 not to dispossess the Petitioner from the above said Land pending the Revision Petition before the 2nd Respondent and pass such other order or orders…."*

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will be suffice if a direction is given to respondent authorities, more particularly, 2nd respondent herein to consider and dispose of the Revision petition dated 02.04.2024 filed by the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that the Revision Petition dated 02.04.2024 filed by the petitioner would be considered by the respondent authorities, in accordance with law, within a reasonable time.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, this Court need not decide the truth or otherwise of the allegations made in the petition. This Court is conscious that no such direction be issued, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in ***"The Government of India v. P.Venkatesh[1]",*** wherein the Apex Court held that such orders may make for a quick or easy disposal of cases in overburdened adjudicatory institutions. But, they do not serve to the cause of justice. As the learned counsel for the petitioner himself requested to issue a direction to dispose of the revision dated 02.04.2024, this Court find no other alternative except to issue such direction.

6. Accordingly, with the consent of both the learned counsel, the present Writ Petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondent authorities to consider and dispose of the Revision dated 02.04.2024 filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, after providing opportunity of hearing to both the parties, within a period of six (06) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Till then, Status Quo obtaining as on today shall be maintained by all the parties concerned. There shall be no order as to costs.

---

[1] 2019 (8) SCALE 544

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

21.04.2025

BSP

16

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION No.9697 of 2025

21.04.2025

BSP