APHC010033342025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3330]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINETH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 2124/2025

Between:

Talari Usha **...PETITIONER**

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh and others **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner:
1. SAZID KALLUR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):
1. GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV
2. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.2124 of 2025

ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

> *"…to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus to declare the action of respondents more particularly respondent Nos.4 and 5 in interfering with the petitioners property situated in Plot No.7, Sy.No.67/B2B of Kalludevakunta village, Mantralayam mandal, Kurnool District without following due process of law as arbitrary, illegal, highhanded and also violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent Nos.4 and 5 not to interfere with the petitioners property situated in Plot No.7, Sy.No.67/B2B of Kalludevakunta village, Mantralayam mandal, Kurnool District without following due process of law and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deems fit just and proper in the circumstances of the case."*

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents.

3. The only grievance of the petitioner in the writ petition is that the respondent authorities are trying to interfere with the possession of the subject property of the petitioner without following the procedure as established by law, which is illegal and arbitrary.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner requested this Court to direct the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner without following the due process of law.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents requested some time, however, agreed for the proposal made to follow the due process of law.

6. Acceding the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is inclined to dispose of this writ petition directing the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over the subject property without following the procedure as established by law.

7. With the above said observation, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

_____

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date : 29.01.2025

SPP

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.2124 of 2025

Date : 29.01.2025

SPP