APHC010018712025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3310]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 1015/2025

Between:

The Food Corporation Of India **...PETITIONER**

AND

The Union Of India **...RESPONDENT**

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. RAVITEJA PADIRI

Counsel for the Respondent:

1.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:

> *"…. to issue any appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in not considering the representations dated 15.03.2024, 17.02.2024 & 15.05.2024 and not extending the Transfer Benefits and keeping the order dated 27.10.2023 in abeyance as arbitrary, illegal violative of Article 14, 19, and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequentially direct the respondents to extend the Transfer Benefits to the petitioners in consequence of a change of his headquarters from Tadepalligudem town to Eluru town and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deems fit just and proper in the circumstances of the case."*

2. Heard Sri Raviteja Padiri, learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri Sridhar Tummalapudi, learned Central Government Counsel appearing for the 1st respondent, Sri Syam Sundar Rao, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent Nos.2 to 6.

3. On hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners reiterated the contentions which are urged in the writ petition and limited his request to dispose of the representations dated 15.03.2024, 17.02.2024 and 15.05.2024 submitted by the petitioners, without touching the merits of the case.

4. On the other hand, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents, readily agreed to dispose of the said representations submitted by the petitioners, if the said representations are pending with the respondent-authorities.

5. In view of the submission of the learned Standing Counsel, this Court need not decide the truth or otherwise of the allegations made in the petition. This Court is conscious that no such direction be issued, in view of the Judgment of the Honourable Apex Court in "***The Government of India v. P.Venkatesh***[1]", wherein the Honourable Apex Court held that such orders may make for a quick or easy disposal of cases in overburdened adjudicatory institutions. But, they do no service to the cause of justice. Hence, I find no other alternative except to issue such direction.

6. In the result, the Writ Petition is ***disposed of***, directing the respondents to dispose of the representations dated 15.03.2024, 17.02.2024

[1] 2019 (8) SCALE 544

and 15.05.2024 submitted by the petitioners, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three (03) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

DR. K. MANMADHA RAO, J.

Date: 10.01.2025
ANS

137

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE K MANMADHA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 1015/2025

Date: 10.01.2025
ANS