



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.257 OF 2025

Mangesh Ramkrushna Sathe

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through Police Station Officer, Kharangna
(Morangana), District Wardha

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Atharva Sunil Manohar, Counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. H. N. Prabhu, APP for respondent/State.

CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.
DATED : 20/08/2025

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioner placed reliance on the decision of **Yuvraj Sitaram Dhamale vs State Of Maharashtra and another** reported in **(2024) 1 High Court Cases (Bom) 634**, wherein it is held that in discharge application the original complainant is a necessary party and therefore, he seeks liberty to add the informant as a party.

2. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to add informant as a party. On adding informant as a party, notice be issued to the informant returnable after **two weeks**.

3. Petitioner is permitted to carry out the amendment forthwith.

4 Petitioner is also permitted to serve the informant by all modes of service.

(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.)