



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
: NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 6926 OF 2025

YAMINI BAJIRAO PATRE

VERSUS

STATE OF MAH, THRU. ITS SECY., RURAL DEVPT. DEPTT., MUMBAI AND ANOTHER

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's Orders or directions and
Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Mr. Chachanath Pawar, Advocate h/f Mr. P.S.Kshirsagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. K. H. Bhondge, A.G.P. for the respondent no.1

CORAM : SMT. M. S. JAWALKAR and
M. W. CHANDWANI, JJ.

DATE : NOVEMBER 13, 2025.

1. Not on board. Taken on board.
2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.
3. Learned A.G.P. waives service of notice on behalf of respondent no.1-State.
4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that he is not pressing prayer clause (i).
5. Statement accepted.
6. Considering the prayer made by the petitioner in this petition, we feel that issuance of notice to respondent no.2 is not necessary.
7. The only prayer made by the petitioner is to direct respondent no.2 – Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Chandrapur to decide her representation dated 22.9.2025.
8. The petitioner was working as Assistant Teacher with the Zilla Parishad school at Barad Kinhi, Panchayat

Samiti, Bramhapuri, Dist. Chandrapur and her husband is working as Graduate Teacher with Zilla Parishad school, Ranbothali, Tal. Bramhapuri, Dist. Chandrapur. The petitioner has been transferred from the school at Barad Kinhhi to the school at Chitakbodra, Tal. Bramhapuri, Dist. Chandrapur. Whereas, the husband of the petitioner has been transferred from the school at Ranbothali, to the school at Shankarpur, Tal. Chimur, Dist. Chandrapur which is more than 30 kilometers away from the school where her husband is working. According to the petitioner, it is in violation of the Husband Wife Unification policy of the State Government introduced vide Government Resolution dated 18.06.2025. Feeling aggrieved with the transfer, the petitioner made representation dated 22.09.2025 to respondent no.2. Since, respondent no.2 has not taken any decision on the representation till date, the petitioner is before this Court.

9. Considering the submission of the petitioner, we feel that the petition can be disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 – Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Chandrapur to decide the representation dated 22.09.2025 submitted by the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from today, in accordance with law.

10. With these directions, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

(M.W.CHANDWANI, J.)

(SMT. M.S.JAWALKAR, J.)