



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3872 OF 2025
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 5085 OF 2025

GRAMODDHAR VIDYA PRASARAK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA, THR. PRESIDENT, HINGNA, NAGPUR AND ANR.

...Vs...

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THR. SECRETARY, TRIBAL DEVELOPMENT DEPT., MUMBAI AND ORS.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Shri A.D.Mohgaonkar, Advocate for petitioners.

Shri R.S.Subhedar, Advocate for Intervenor in W.P. No. 3872/2025.

Shri H.D.Marathe, AGP for respondent(s)/State in W.P. No. 3872/2025.

Shri P.P. Pendke, AGP for respondent(s)/State in W.P. No. 5085/2025.

CORAM: **ANIL S. KILOR AND**
RAJNISH R. VYAS, JJ.

DATED : **29th SEPTEMBER, 2025.**

Shri Mohgaonkar, learned counsel for the petitioners points out that, despite the stay granted to appointment of the Administrator, the respondent(s) authority, to harass the petitioners, are issuing various communications threatening to the petitioners of coercive action. The petitioners, therefore, want to file an affidavit bringing all the subsequent facts on record.

2. The petitioners are permitted to file affidavit stating the subsequent events. It is directed that the petitioners shall supply copy of such affidavit to the learned AGP for the State.

3. After supplying such copy of an affidavit, the learned AGP is expected to file on record an affidavit explaining the circumstances, in which, such letters were

issued, despite a fact that this Court has granted stay to the appointment of the Administrator.

4. We further direct the respondent(s) authority not to take any coercive action till next date.

5. Stand over to 17/11/2025.

(RAJNISH R. VYAS, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)

B.T.K.