



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.338 OF 2025

[Bharath Traders and one .vs. State of Maharashtra and another]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. S.A. Mohta, Advocate for Petitioner.
.....

CORAM : PRAVIN S. PATIL, J.

DATED : 13.11.2025.

1. By this petition, it is alleged that there is a deliberate and intentional disobedience on the part of respondent no.2.
2. To substantiate this fact, the petitioner has relied upon Clauses (v) and (vii) of the operative part of the judgment delivered by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-15, Nagpur in Criminal Revision Application No.228/2025. The petitioner further pointed out that there is compliance on his part as per the directions issued by the learned Sessions Judge. *Prima facie*, it seems that there is a disobedience on the part of the Investigation Officer.
3. Hence, issue notice only to respondent no.2, returnable on **25.11.2025.**

(PRAVIN S. PATIL, J.)