



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.571/2024

Sheikh Shahrukh Mehboob (In Jail) Vs. State of Maharashtra and others

---

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders.*

*Court's or Judge's order*

---

Mr. Mir Nagman Ali, Advocate for petitioner  
Mr. S.S. Doifode, APP for respondent nos. 1 to 4.

**CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE & MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.**  
**DATE : FEBRUARY 17, 2025.**

1. It is demonstrated from the record by counsel appearing for the petitioner Mr. Ali that two offences coupled with the two-in-camera statements which are relied on for ordering detention leads to an inference that conduct of the petitioner has led to disturbance of public order.
2. Prima facie, upon going through the FIR and other material in relation to the two offences, which speaks of the offences having been taken place in public view, however, there is not enough material to infer that the same has led to the disturbance of public order.
3. Mr. Doifode, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, has tried to substantiate the issue about disturbance of public order by connecting two-in-camera statements with that of the material which is part of the charge-sheet in two offences. Mr. Doifode, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, at this stage, seeks time to extend assistance that even if the offences which are taken place in public view, there is elaborate

material to infer the disturbance of public order, and in such an eventuality, the in-camera-statements can be taken into account.

4. Stand over to 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2025, as prayed, by way of last chance.

**(MRS.VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)      (NITIN W.SAMBRE, J.)**

*Mukund Ambulkar*