



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 8299 OF 2025

Late Padmadevi R. Maloo Foundation, Amravati
Vs.

State of Maharashtra, Thru. Its Secretary, for Other Backward Class Welfare Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and Ors.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Mr. S.M. Vaishnav, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.P. Pendke, AGP for respondent Nos.1 to 4/State.

**CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR AND
RAJNISH R. VYAS, JJ.**

DATE : 23.12.2025

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to correct the cause title, more particularly the respondent No.1 by showing the proper party.

2. Permission is granted.
3. Amendment be carried out forthwith.
4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. According to him, the directions are required to be given to respondent to take decision on proposal dated 19.05.2023.

6. He has invited our attention to Page 39 which is proposal dated 19.05.2023, addressed to respondent No.1. Since this proposal is not taken into the logical end, we direct the respondent No.1 to decide the proposal in accordance with law, within a period of ten weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

7. The decision taken be communicated to the petitioner within two weeks thereafter.

8. The writ petition is **disposed of** accordingly.

9. Needless to mention, one of the points which needs to be taken into consideration by the respondent No.1 is the order dated 20.03.2023 in Writ Petition No.4295/2021.

(Rajnish R. Vyas, J.)

(Anil S. Kilor, J.)