



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 854/2025

Nilkanth s/o. Janrao Bambal Vs. The State of Maharashtra

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 858/2025

Dnyandeo s/o. Shiram @ Shivram Khetkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 859/2025

Deorao s/o. Onkar Kakade Vs. The State of Maharashtra

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 877/2025

Uppalapi Vyankata Subbarao and Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 878/2025

Themmenedi Gangadhar Rao Vs. The State of Maharashtra

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 861/2025

Bhagwan Rajaram Tale Vs. State of Maharashtra

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. J. B. Gandhi, Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. S. S. Hulke,
A.P.P. for Non-applicant/State in ABA No.854/2025.

Mr. P. U. Kavishwar, Advocate for the Applicant and Ms M. A.
Barabde, A.P.P. for Non-applicant/State in ABA No.858/2025.

Mr. H. M. Mohta, Advocate for the Applicant and Ms M. A. Barabde,
A.P.P. for Non-applicant/State in ABA No.859/2025.

Mr. C. G. Barapatre, Advocate for the Applicant and Ms M. A.
Barabde, A.P.P. for Non-applicant/State in ABA No.877/2025.

Mr. C. G. Barapatre, Advocate for the Applicant and Ms M. A.
Barabde, A.P.P. for Non-applicant/State in ABA No.878/2025.

Mr. Apurv De, Advocate for the Applicant and Ms M. A. Barabde,
A.P.P. for the Non-applicant/State in ABA No.861/2025.

CORAM : MRS.VRUSHALI V. JOSHI,J.

DATED : 10/12/2025.

. Criminal Application (ABA) No.861/2025 is not
on Board. On mentioning taken on Board.

2. Heard.

3. The applicants have apprehension of arrest in
Crime No.223/2025 for the offence punishable under
Sections 407, 409, 417, 420, 468 and 471 read with
Section 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The allegations are made that the applicant along with other co-accused has made over-payment to the Contractor in the year 2013. The contract was terminated in the year 2017. The recovery suit is filed by V.I.D.C. and the applicants are the defendants in said civil suit which was filed after terminating the contract in the year 2017. Thereafter in the year 2023 in a joint measurement, misappropriation was found and the crime is registered in the year 2025. The allegations made in the civil suit and the F.I.R. are same.

5. The applicants were protected by granting interim protection.

6. The applicants are attending the Police Station and specimen Signature of some of the applicants are taken.

7. The learned A.P.P. seeks time to take signature of some of the applicants in some of the matters.

8. As the applicants are already attending the Police Station, and they are available for signature, the interim protection granted is confirmed on the same terms and conditions except a modification in the condition that the applicant shall attend the Police Station as and when required by the Investigating Officer. Rest of the conditions are kept as it is.

9. The applications stand disposed of accordingly.

(MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)