



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 6787 OF 2025

Dhanlaxmi Merchandise Private Limited, Mumbai

Vs

State of Maharashtra, thr. Secretary, Revenue and Forest Dept. and others.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Mr. Adwait Shashank Manohar, counsel for petitioner.

Mr. D.V. Chavan, Government Pleader (Senior counsel) with Mr. N.S.Rao, AGP for
respondent/State.

Mr. Z.Z. Haq, counsel for respondent No.3.

Mr. F.T. Mirza, Senior Counsel with Mr. Rani G. Nitnaware, counsel for respondent No.4.

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR and RAJNISH R. VYAS, JJ.

DATE : 04/12/2025

1. Mr. D.V. Chauhan, learned Government Pleader (Senior Advocate), submits that the requirement for having a registered Head Office or Branch Office in Maharashtra is the issue in question in this petition. More particularly, the issue pertains to what documents requires to show a registered branch office in Maharashtra.

2. It is submitted that the GST document is not a document which can be relied upon to say that the petitioner's branch office is registered in Maharashtra.

3. It is further submitted that all the bidders who were declared qualified had produced a 'Gumasta certificate' as proof of registration of their branch office. The petitioner received such a document only after the tender process had concluded.

4. It is, therefore, submitted that if the petitioner wishes to participate in any tender process hereinafter, or has already participated on receiving the Gumasta certificate, he will be considered.

5. In view of above referred statement made by learned Government Pleader, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition.

6. Accordingly, permission to withdraw the present petition is granted. The writ petition is **disposed of** as withdrawn.

(RAJNISH R. VYAS, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)