



12-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 12 OF 2025

**(Dr. Puranchandra Kishan Meshram Vs. The Public Information Officer/Secretary of
Parampujya Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Smarak Samiti, Nagpur & Ors.)**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. R.R. Gupta i/b Ms G.K. Sharma, Counsel for the petitioner.
Ms Mrunal Naik, A.G.P for respondent nos. 3 and 4.

.....

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE, J.
MARCH 3, 2025

On 13/1/2025, following order was
passed :

*“The learned Counsel for the petitioner
seeks permission to delete respondent nos. 3
and 4.*

*2] Permission is granted. Necessary
amendment be carried out forthwith.*

*3] One of the reasons why the petitioner
was not provided information under the Right
to Information Act, 2005, is that the
information could be obtained from the office
of the Charity Commissioner, and since effective
remedy is available, the same ought to have
been exhausted.*

*4] The petitioner, without approaching the
office of the Charity Commissioner, appears to
have approached respondent no.1 for
information. The State Information
Commissioner, Maharashtra, has observed that
there are judgments of the Gujarat High Court
and other Courts on this point. The petitioner,
however, has not disclosed in the petition that
he had either approached the office of the
Charity Commissioner or has inspected the
record before approaching respondent no.1.*

*5] The learned Counsel for the petitioner
seeks time to have research on this point.*

*6] Time is granted. List in the week
commencing from 27/1/2025.”*

2] As could be seen, the learned Counsel for the petitioner sought time to have research on the point mentioned in the order. Accordingly, the matter was listed on 10/2/2025 when the learned Counsel sought further time to have research on the point. The request was accepted but as a last chance.

3] Today is yet another day when Mr. R.R. Gupta instructed by Ms G.K. Sharma, learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks further time to have research. He mentioned the matter in the morning but adjournment was not granted on the count that last chance was given on previous date. When the matter was called, the petitioner in-person along with Mr. R.R. Gupta, learned Counsel, is present. The petitioner in-person is now seeking adjournment on the count that some documents are to be placed on record.

4] Adjournment is granted subject to cost of Rs.25,000/- to be deposited with the High Court Bar Association Library, Nagpur, within seven working days from today. If the cost is not deposited within seven working days, the petition shall stand dismissed for non-compliance of order.

5] If cost is deposited, list thereafter.

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)

Sumit