



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.7319 OF 2024

[Yashi Vyas d/o Shri Devendra Kumar Vyas and others .vs. Union of India and others]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Y.S. Uberoi, Advocate through VC with Shri Kabeer Paul, Advocate for
Petitioners.

Shri P.V. Navlani, Advocate for all Respondents.

.....

CORAM : SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR AND
PRAVIN S. PATIL, JJ.

DATED : JULY 23, 2025.

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. It is seen from the record that the present petitioners filed Original Application No.1276/2024 before the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench at Nagpur. In their application, the interim relief was prayed to the effect that Notification dated 27.03.2024 be stayed in terms of order dated 12.11.2024 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal at Jabalpur and order dated 18.11.2024 passed by High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur and accordingly the petitioners be permitted to discharge their duties without any embargo.
3. We have perused the impugned order passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench at Nagpur. It is seen that the learned Tribunal has only issued notices to the respondents and gave the returnable

date. There is no mention whether interim relief prayed by the petitioners is rejected or is not inclined to grant such relief.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners stated that he is representing petitioners before Central Administrative Tribunal also. He stated that learned Member of Central Administrative Tribunal was not inclined to grant interim relief in their favour, therefore, such order was passed in the matter.

5. However, we do not appreciate the submission of the petitioners in absence of any finding or something mentioned in the order of the Tribunal.

6. In view of above, we direct the learned Member, Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench at Nagpur to consider the interim application of the petitioners on its own merit and record the reasons and decide the same accordingly within a period of four weeks from the date of production of this order before the Tribunal.

7. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

(PRAVIN S. PATIL, J.)

(SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR, J.)