



(1)

999.apl.1665.1666.65.66.67.2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 1665 OF 2024

The National Small Industries Corporation Ltd. A Govt. of India Enterprise
Vs.

M/s. Parshwa Engineering Pvt. Ltd., and another
WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 1666 OF 2024

The National Small Industries Corporation Ltd. A Govt. of India Enterprise
Vs.

M/s. Parshwa Engineering Pvt. Ltd., and another
WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 65 OF 2025

The National Small Industries Corporation Ltd. A Govt. of India Enterprise
Vs.

M/s. Mehta Cast Iron Pvt. Lrtd, and others
WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 66 OF 2025

The National Small Industries Corporation Ltd. A Govt. of India Enterprise
Vs.

M/s. Nagpur Foundries Pvt Ltd. and others
WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 67 OF 2025

The National Small Industries Corporation Ltd. A Govt. of India Enterprise
Vs.

M/s. Prithvi Ispt Pvt Ltd. And others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. V. M. Vishwarupe, Counsel for the applicant in all applications.

Mr. S. S. Sohoni, Counsel for the non-applicant No.2 in APL Nos.1665 and 1666/2024.

Mr. S. S. Sohoni, Counsel for the non-applicant No.3 in APL 65/2025.

Mr. S. S. Sohoni, Counsel for the non-applicant No..2 and 3. in APL No.66/2025.

Mr. S. S. Sohoni, Counsel for the non-applicant No.2 in APL 67/2025.

CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.
DATED : 17/06/2025

1. By all these applications, the applicant has challenged the order passed by the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Court No.15, Nagpur, rejecting the application for seeking permission to adduce secondary evidence on the ground that the original cheques were misplaced.

2. During the pendency of these applications, the original cheques have been recovered from the office of the complainant and now there is no need to proceed with the applications for seeking permission to secondary evidence. Now the applicant is at liberty to adduce the primary evidence. In view that, the applications be permitted to be withdrawn.

3. The pursis filed by the learned Counsel for the original complainant / applicant are taken on record. In view of the above reasons, all the applications are permitted to be withdrawn and **disposed of.**

4. The trial Court shall permit the complainant to adduce the primary evidence.

(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.)