



(1)

920.apl.1513.2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO.1513 OF 2025

Sumit Sharad Jejani and others

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through Police Station Officer, Police Station
Sitabuldi, Nagpur and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Mayur Gangwal, Advocate h/f Mr. N. P. Singhania, Advocate for
applicants.

Ms. Sneha Dhote, APP for non-applicant No.1/State.

Mr. G. R. Gyanchandani, Advocate h/f Mr. K. L. Satuja, Advocate for
non-applicant No.2.

**CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE AND
NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, JJ.
DATED : 18/11/2025**

1. Vide order dated 14.10.2025, the First Information Report in question was quashed, subject to the costs of Rs.20,000/- by the applicants and Rs.20,000/- by the non-applicant No.2. The office note shows that the costs has not been deposited, however, learned counsel for the applicants has tendered across the bar a pursis along with a receipt evidencing payment of costs of Rs.20,000/- to the High Court Gazetted Officers Association.

2. Learned counsel for the non-applicant No.2 also makes a statement that the costs has been paid as directed by this Court and undertakes that to file a pursis during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.

3. No further orders are necessary.

4. A pursis tendered by the counsel for the applicants is taken on record.

(NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J) (URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J)