

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.773 OF 2017

[Chandan S/o Dilip Trivedi ..vs.. The State of Maharashtra and others]

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Mr R. M. Daga, Advocate a/w Mr P. R. Agrawal, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr S. S. Doifode, Addl. P. P. for Respondents/State.

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE AND RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.

DATE : 27th NOVEMBER, 2025.

1. On 19th November, 2025, following order was passed :

*"On 19th April, 2018, following order was passed
as could be seen:*

*"In response to the orders passed by this
Court from time to time, it is reported to us that
the collector, Yavatmal has granted renewal of
armed licence to the petitioner as per the order
passed by the Divisional Commissioner in appeal.
Therefore, the reliefs in terms of prayer clause (i)
to (iii) do not at all survive. So far as reliefs in
terms of prayer clause (iv) to (vii) are concerned,
rule made returnable early.*

*Shri Khan, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor waives service of notice on behalf
of the respondent nos.1 to 3."*

2. *As per learned Additional Public Prosecutor, the
substantive grievance put forth by the petitioner in the
form of prayer clauses (i) to (iii) was resolved. What
is/was left was the prayer of compensation and action
against respondent No.3-Collector, Yavatmal.*

3. *Considering the fact that corrective steps were
taken by respondent No.3, we are, at such a belated stage,
not inclined to pass any order in this regard.*

4. *As regards compensation, we intend to grant leave to
the petitioner to approach appropriate Court and seek
compensation.*

5. *So far as (vi)th prayer is concerned, since the substantive prayer is met, to now direct respondent No.3 to furnish record of the valid license holders/persons who have been granted renewal of license under Arms Act, 1959, is something which will only burden the Court with additional record, without any fruitful reasons. The petitioner may obtain the documents for the purpose of seeking compensation and/or any other relief as may be necessary.*

6. *At this stage, learned counsel for petitioner seeks time to take instructions.*

7. *Time granted.*

8. *List on 27/11/2025."*

2. As could be seen, the counsel for petitioner sought time to take instructions. The counsel, however, submits that the petitioner has not given any instruction. Thus, it appears that the petitioner for no valid reason wants to pursue cause. That being so, and considering the fact that the substantive prayer has been met, we dispose of the petition with liberty to seek compensation as mentioned in the order dated 19th November, 2025.

3. We may clarify here that if, the petitioner seeks documents in terms of para 5, the same shall be provided to him by the respondent No.3.

(RAJ D. WAKODE, J.)

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)