



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.633/2025

Swapnil Ambadas Adwani ..Vs.. State of Maharashtra and anr.

AND

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.637/2025

Vishnu Dhanraj Adwani ..Vs.. State of Maharashtra and anr.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. M. N. Opai, Advocate for petitioner in W.P.No.633/25
Mr. G. S. Gour, Advocate for petitioner in W.P.No.637/25
Ms S. Haider, A.P.P for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. D. M. Dixit Advocate for respondent No.3 in W.P.No.633/25.
Mr. J. S. Chilotra, Advocate for respondent No.3 in W.P.No.637/25.

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE AND
SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, JJ.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

On 25.08.2025, following order was passed.

“Heard.

2. The police have seized premises of respondent no.3, which were allegedly given on rent to petitioner’s ancestors, who has allegedly given it to respondent no.4, a sub tenant.

3. It appears that there are/were some disputes between petitioners and respondent no.4 as regards the tenancy of the premises in question. Both parties have lodged first information report against each other. The police, considering the gravity of the dispute, thought it proper to seal the immovable property belonging to respondent no.3. The action is allegedly taken under Section 164 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

4. The action has been challenged on the ground that police have no authority to seal the premises.

5. Learned A.P.P submits that intentions were valid and seeks time to justify the action. However, considering the fact and position of law, when police has no power to seal the premises, no question would arise to justify the action.

6. At this stage learned A.P.P submits that corrective steps will be taken within 24 hours.

Statement accepted. List the matter for further consideration on 02.09.2025.”

2. As could be seen, learned A.P.P. assured that corrective steps would be taken, which according to her, have been taken. She submits that the action of sealing has been recalled and permission to de-seal has been granted.

3. That being so, purpose of filing the petitions stands served. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly.

(Siddheshwar S. Thombre,J.)

(Anil L. Pansare, J.)