



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR
CIVIL APPLICATION (CAC) NO.47 OF 2025
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION ST. NO.17364 OF 2025

Ajay Soni Vs. Sheikh Nafiz s/o Sheikh Noor Mohammad

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (CAC) NO.48 OF 2025
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION ST. NO.17355 OF 2025

Ajay Soni Vs. Shri Mahesh s/o Vamanprasad Upadyay

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (CAC) NO.49 OF 2025
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION ST. NO.17357 OF 2025

Ajay Soni Vs. Mrs. Rekha W/o Ramesh Bevhariya

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (CAC) NO.50 OF 2025
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION ST. NO.17349 OF 2025

Ajay Soni Vs. Mrs. Nalini W/o Manohar Barne

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (CAC) NO.51 OF 2025
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION ST. NO.17352 OF 2025

Ajay Soni Vs. Mrs. Kiran w/o Motichand Dhok

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (CAC) NO.52 OF 2025
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION ST. NO.17275 OF 2025

Ajay Soni Vs. Shri. Sushilkumar s/o Vamanprasad Upadyay

Mr. T. D. Mandlekar, Advocate for applicant.
Mr. Nikhil Kitarne Advocate for non-applicant/respondent

CORAM : ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 18.11.2025.

. There is a delay of 108 days in filing Civil Revision Applications. The applicant is a medical practitioner. He has stated that the case papers were handed-over to an Advocate for drafting the revision application on 16.01.2025. However, the learned Advocate was pre-occupied with some other matters and could not draft the revision applications despite repeated followups. It is stated that the clerk of the Advocate had also misplaced the file. There is no reason to disbelieve these statements made by the applicant on affidavit.

2. In view of the above, in the considered opinion of this Court, the delay is properly explained and deserves to be condoned in the interest of justice.

3. Hence, the Civil Applications are allowed and disposed of. The delay of 108 days is condoned. The office to register the Civil Revision Applications.

(ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.)

Tanmay...