



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**WRIT PETITION NO.3831 OF 2020**

Vivek S/o Madhukarrao Sakhare  
Aged : 44 years, Occ: Business,  
R/o 716, Near Hanuman Mandir,  
Yashoda Nagar No.1, Rukhamini Nagar,  
Amravati, Tah. and Dist.Amravati.

**...PETITIONER**

**...V E R S U S...**

1. State of Maharashtra,  
Through its Secretary, Revenue  
and Forest Department, Mantralaya,  
Mumbai-32.
2. The Additional Collector,  
Amravati, District Amravati.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer,  
Morshi, Taluka Morshi,  
District Amravati.
4. The Tahsildar, Warud,  
Tah. Warud, District Amravati.
5. Police Station Officer,  
Police Station Warud, Tah. Warud,  
District Amravati.

**...RESPONDENTS**

WITH  
**WRIT PETITION NO.3829 OF 2020**

Amol S/o Dinkarrao Laware,  
Aged : 31 years, Occ: Business,  
R/o Near Indrashesh Mandir, Wadali,  
Amravati, Tah. and Dist.Amravati.

**...PETITIONER**

**...V E R S U S...**

1. State of Maharashtra,  
Through its Secretary, Revenue  
and Forest Department, Mantralaya,  
Mumbai-32.

2. The Additional Collector,  
Amravati, District Amravati.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer,  
Morshi, Taluka Morshi,  
District Amravati.
4. The Tahsildar, Warud,  
Tah. Warud, District Amravati.
5. Police Station Officer,  
Police Station Warud, Tah. Warud,  
District Amravati.

**...RESPONDENTS**

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO.3243 OF 2020**

Vishal S/o Sureshrao Khadse,  
Aged : 38 years, Occ: Business,  
R/o Dhamangaon, Amravati,  
Tah. and Dist.Amravati.

**...PETITIONER**

**...V E R S U S...**

1. State of Maharashtra,  
Through its Secretary, Revenue  
and Forest Department, Mantralaya,  
Mumbai-32.
2. The Additional Collector,  
Amravati, District Amravati.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer,  
Dhamangaon Rly. Tq. Dhamangaon Rly,  
District Amravati.
4. The Tahsildar, Dhamangaon Rly.  
District Amravati.
5. Police Station Officer,  
Police Station, Dattapur,  
District Amravati.

**...RESPONDENTS**

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO.3244 OF 2020**

Vikram S/o Satish Budhlani,  
Aged : 34 years, Occ: Business,  
R/o Borgaon Nistane, Zada,  
Amravati, Dist.Amravati.

**...PETITIONER****...V E R S U S...**

1. State of Maharashtra,  
Through its Secretary, Revenue  
and Forest Department, Mantralaya,  
Mumbai-32.
2. The Additional Collector,  
Amravati, District Amravati.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer,  
Dhamangaon Rly. Tq. Dhamangaon Rly,  
District Amravati.
4. The Tahsildar, Dhamangaon Rly.  
District Amravati.
5. Police Station Officer,  
Police Station, Dattapur,  
District Amravati.

**...RESPONDENTS**

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO.3245 OF 2020**

Vikram S/o Satish Budhlani,  
Aged : 34 years, Occ: Business,  
R/o Borgaon Nistane, Zada,  
Amravati, Dist.Amravati.

**...PETITIONER****...V E R S U S...**

1. State of Maharashtra,  
Through its Secretary, Revenue  
and Forest Department, Mantralaya,  
Mumbai-32.
2. The Additional Collector,  
Amravati, District Amravati.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer,

Dhamangaon Rly. Tq. Dhamangaon Rly,  
District Amravati.

4. The Tahsildar, Dhamangaon Rly.  
District Amravati.

5. Police Station Officer,  
Police Station, Dattapur,  
District Amravati.

...**RESPONDENTS**

-----  
Shri A.R. Ingole, Advocate for appellant.  
Shri A.G. Mate, AGP for respondents/State.  
-----

**CORAM:- M.W. CHANDWANI, J.**  
**DATED :- 29.09.2025**

**ORAL JUDGMENT:**

1. **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of learned counsels appearing for the parties.
2. Since, a common question has been raised in all these petitions, they are being disposed of by this common order.
3. In all these petitions, it is contended that the action of seizure of vehicle used in transporting illegal minor minerals by the police is without jurisdiction so far as Section 48 (8) of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966 (for short, “**MLR Code**”) is concerned and therefore, further action taken by the revenue authority is without jurisdiction.
4. It is not in dispute that in all these writ petitions, initially the police seized the vehicle alongwith minor minerals which were being transported in the said vehicles and thereafter,

Tahsildars of the respective areas initiated action under Section 48 of the MLR Code.

5. In Writ Petition Nos.3829/2020 and 3831/2020, the Police Station Officer, Police Station, Warud, seized the vehicles. Consequently, the Tahsildar, Warud initiated the action under Section 48 (8) of the MLR Code and imposed a fine of ₹2,00,000/- each and ₹2,08,850/- and ₹2,04,750/- for excavation of minor mineral (sand) plus royalty respectively, for releasing the vehicles.

6. In Writ Petition Nos.3243/2020, 3244/2020 and 3245/2020, the Police Station Officer, Police Station, Dattapur, seized the vehicles. Consequently, the Tahsildar, Dhamangaon Railway initiated the action under Section 48(8) of the MLR Code and imposed fine of ₹2,00,000/- each and ₹2,16,600/-, ₹1,61,400/- and ₹2,21,000/- for excavation of minor mineral (sand) plus royalty respectively, for releasing the vehicles.

7. To fortify the submission that the orders impugned are without jurisdiction, the learned counsel for the petitioners has relied on the decisions of the Division Bench of this Court in the case of *Gufran Khan Rahmatullah Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra and others*<sup>1</sup> as well as decisions of the Single Bench of this Court in the cases of *Mohd. Sajid Mohd. Yusuf Vs. Additional Collector,*

<sup>1</sup> Writ Petition No.8424/2018

*Amravati and others* <sup>1</sup> , *Salman Illiyas Kha Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra and others* <sup>2</sup> and *Shri Gajanan Vilasrao Kalbande Vs. State of Maharashtra and others* <sup>3</sup>. This Court has held that the action of seizure of the vehicle used in illegal transportation of minor minerals by the police is without jurisdiction so far as Section 48 of the MLR Code is concerned. It has further been held that consequently, all further actions by the revenue authorities would be without jurisdiction.

8. That apart, in the wake of Section 48(8)(2), it is the Collector or the Deputy Collector specially authorized by the Collector or any other officer not below the rank of a Deputy Collector authorized in this behalf who may impose the penalty for releasing the vehicles used in the illegal transportation of minor minerals. In all these writ petitions, it is the Tahsildar who is below the rank of a Deputy Collector who imposed the penalty for release of the vehicle and therefore, imposing of penalty for release of vehicles by the Tahsildar is without jurisdiction. The orders impugned herein are liable to be quashed and set aside. Hence, the following orders:

9. The writ petitions are allowed.

1 Writ Petition No.2936/2025

2 Writ Petition No.2623/2022

3 Writ Petition No.387/2021

**10.** Order impugned in Writ Petition No.3831/2020 dated 17.12.2020 passed by the Tahsildar, Warud, District Amravati in Revenue Case No.MNL-37/39/2020 of Mouza Karvar Naka, Order impugned in Writ Petition No.3829/2020 dated 15.12.2020 passed by the Tahsildar, Warud, District Amravati in Revenue Case No.MNL-37/31/2020 of Mouza Karvar Naka, Order impugned in Writ Petition No.3243/2020 dated 25.11.2020 passed by the Tahsildar, Dhamangaon Railway, District Amravati in Revenue Case No.MNL-37/Dattapur-144/2020-2021, Order impugned in Writ Petition No.3244/2020 dated 25.11.2020 passed by the Tahsildar, Dhamangaon Railway, District Amravati in Revenue Case No.MNL-37/Dattapur-143/2020-2021, and Order impugned in Writ Petition No.3245/2020 dated 25.11.2020 passed by the Tahsildar, Dhamangaon Railway, District Amravati in Revenue Case No.MNL-37/Dattapur-145/2020-2021 are quashed and set aside.

**11.** The amount, if any, deposited by the petitioners before respondent no.3 under the order of this Court be refunded to the petitioner within six weeks from today.

Rule made absolute in the above terms.

Wagh

**JUDGE**