



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 444 OF 2025**

Sagarsingh S/o. Umarvasingh June  
Vs.

State of Maharashtra, Through PSO, Police Station Kondhali, Tah. Katol,  
Dist. Nagpur

---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

---

Mr. A.A. Hunge, Advocate a/w Mr. S.R Pimple, Advocate for the applicant.  
Mr. A.A. Madiwale, APP for non-applicant/State.

**CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.**  
**DATED : 10/07/2025**

**1.** Apprehending the arrest at the hands of Police in connection with Crime No.69/2025 registered with Police Station Kondhali, District Nagpur, for the offenses punishable under Sections 123, 318(4), 338, 340(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 65(a), 65(b), 65(c), 65(d), 65(e), 65(f), 67-1A, 67-C, 72, 83, 86, 90 of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act, the applicant approached to this Court for grant of per-arrest bail.

**2.** Heard Learned Counsel for the applicant, who submitted that the crime is registered on the basis of report lodged by ASI Kishor Anandrao Sherki alleging that he received a secret information that some persons are manufacturing the illicit liquor at Margasur Shivar and, therefore, he conducted a raid

and during raid food stock of 1000 Liter of concentrate which is used for manufacturing liquor was seized. During the enquiry with other co-accused, the name of the present applicant revealed, who was illegally selling the raw material to the other co-accused for the purpose of manufacturing the illicit liquor. Thus he submitted that on the basis of statement of the co-accused, the present applicant is arraigned as an accused. In view of that he be protected by granting anticipatory bail.

**3.** Learned APP strongly opposed the said application on the ground that considering the huge stock and there were criminal antecedents of the similar nature, the application deserves to be rejected.

**4.** On hearing both the sides and on perusal of the investigation papers, it reveals that the applicant was not found at the spot of incident, his involvement appears to be there, on the basis of the statement of the co-accused. As far as his custodial interrogation is concerned, which is not required. Mere criminal antecedents are not sufficient to reject the prayer of the present applicant for grant of bail. Accordingly, I proceed to pass the following Order :-

### **O R D E R**

**i.** The application is allowed.

**ii.** Interim protection is granted to the present applicant by order dated 25.06.2025 is hereby confirmed on the condition that the applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station twice in a week on every Monday and Thursday between 10:00 a.m. to 01:00 p.m. till filing of the charge-sheet and co-operate with the investigating agency.

**iii.** The applicant shall not induce, threat or promise any witnesses who are acquainted with the facts of the case either personally or by way of electronic media.

**iv.** The applicant shall not indulge himself in similar type of activities. A single incident if reported would lead to cancellation of bail.

**5.** The application is **disposed off**.

**(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.)**