



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 197 OF 2025

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 5965 OF 2022 (D)

(Shakuntalabai Shrikant Jaiswal vs. Dr.Kiran Patil, District Collector, Buldhana and others)

*Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or the directions,
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Mr. C.B. Dharmadhikari, Advocate for petitioner.
Ms. D.I. Charlewar, AGP for respondent.

CORAM : ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.
DECEMBER 18, 2025

- 1) The present contempt petition is filed by the petitioner alleging that the respondent No.1 Collector, Buldhana, has committed contempt of the order dated 30/08/2023, passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.5965/2022, inasmuch as said Authority did not decide proceeding No.CLR112020/728/Sup/772, in compliance of the said order. The respondent No.3 has filed affidavit-in-reply stating that in compliance of order passed by this Court the said proceeding was decided by the respondent No.1 Collector vide order dated 13/10/2023.
- 2) The petitioner has disputed that the proceeding was decided vide order dated 13/10/2023 as alleged and has contended that the respondent No.1 had prepared antedated order in view of the contempt petition.
- 3) Learned Assistant Government Pleader has produced original outward register maintained by the respondent No.1 in which there is an entry at Sr.No.1246 regarding order passed in the aforesaid proceeding. This Court has perused the original register in which the said entry is recorded.

4) Having regard to the affidavit-in-reply filed by the respondent No.1 along with which copy of order dated 13/10/2023 is annexed and on perusal of the original outward register, this Court is satisfied that the proceeding is decided in accordance with the direction given by this Court. No case for taking cognisance of contempt is made out by the petitioner. Hence, the contempt petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

(ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.)