



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 45 of 2011

Rajnikant Daluram Borele

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Mr. R.D. Bhuibhar, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. H. R.Dhumale, AGP for respondents.

CORAM :- ANIL S. KILOR and RAJNISH R. VYAS, JJ.

DATE :- 1st DECEMBER, 2025

Heard.

2. Considering the prayer clauses and the affidavit dated 18.03.2019 of the Administrative Officer, Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Amravati Division, Amravati, more particularly para 2 of the same, we are of the opinion that nothing survives for adjudication in this Public Interest Litigation. Para 2 of the said affidavit reads thus:

'2. I state that, after considering the report of Reddy Committee, the Government directed to conduct the Departmental Enquiry against the guilty persons/employees. Accordingly, the Divisional Enquiry Officer was interested(entrusted) with the duty of conducting Departmental Enquiry against the guilty employees of the Department. Accordingly, the enquiry has initiated under Rule 8 and 12 of Maharashtra Civil Services Rules (Discipline & Appeal) 1979 against 41 officers/ employees and under Rule 27 of said Rules against 8 Officers/employees. A chart showing details of names, designations of 49 employees is annexed herewith.

After conducting the enquiry under Rule 10 of the Maharashtra Civil Services Rules (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 against 18 Officers, disciplinary actions i.e. punishment had been already imposed against those 18 officers. A chart showing details of names, designations and punishment given to 18 employees is annexed herewith.

3. Accordingly, the public interest litigation is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file afresh, if such occasion arises.

(RAJNISH R. VYAS, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)

Andurkar.