



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION (TR) NO.342 OF 2025

(Mrs. Mrunali Akshay Dhakre Vs. Akshay Sanjiv Dhakre)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. K. R. Bhise, Advocate for Applicant.
None for Respondent.

CORAM: R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE: 26th JUNE, 2025.

1. None for the respondent in spite of service of notice.
2. Applicant is wife of respondent. She seeks transfer of the Marriage Petition No.A/79/2025 filed by respondent – husband before the Family Court No.3, Akola to the Civil Judge, Senior Division, Wardha. She claims to be unemployed and has responsibility of seven months old daughter.
3. She claims inconvenience if she is called upon to appear in the said proceeding at Akola. The contention of the applicant has gone unchallenged. Learned Counsel for the respondent indicates that he has no inclination to oppose the application.
4. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that considering the fact that the applicant does not have

livelihood with responsibility of seven months old girl, and in such circumstances it would be unjust to call upon her to appear in the Court at Akola, which is to and fro distance about 400 km.

5. Considering the disputed facts that the applicant is unemployed with responsibility of seven months old girl, the contention of the applicant that if she is called upon in the proceeding at Akola will cause prejudice to her right, deserves to be accepted. On the other hand no prejudice is likely to cause to husband by transfer of the said proceeding.

6. Hence, application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause (A).

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)