



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO.314 OF 2025

Pramod Ganpatrao Pohre

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through Police Station Officer, Police Station
Shegaon City, District Buldhana

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Vinit Dhage, Counsel h/f Mr. Bhushan Dafle, Counsel for the applicant.
Mr. Nitin Rode, APP for non-applicant/State.

CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.
DATED : 02/07/2025

1. Apprehending the arrest at the hands of police in connection with Crime No.196/2025 registered with Police Station Shegaon, District Buldhana for the offence punishable under Sections 119(1), 115(2), 118(1), 132, 296, 351(2) of the Bharaitya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the applicant approached this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail.

2. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant, who submitted that the crime is registered on the basis of a report lodged by Sachin Ganeshrao Sangale, on an allegation that on 09/04/2025, he was assaulted by the present applicant as well as his golden ornaments were snatched. He submitted that there was a union dispute between the present applicant and the informant, and out of that dispute,

this FIR came to be lodged. As far as the allegations regarding snatching of the golden ornaments are concerned, which are false and baseless, and therefore, the custodial interrogation of the present applicant is not required.

3. Learned APP strongly opposed for the same on the ground that his custodial interrogation is required, as well as the specific allegation is levelled against him.

4. On hearing both sides and on perusal of recitals of the FIR and remand report filed before the Sessions Judge, it reveals that out of the union dispute, this FIR came to be lodged. As far as the interrogation part is concerned for which the applicant is already directed to attend the concerned Police Station. There is no complaint that he has not cooperated with the investigating agency. He has attended the concerned Police Station, in view of that, the application deserves to be allowed. Accordingly, I proceed to pass following order:

ORDER

(i) The application is allowed.

(ii) The interim protection granted to the present applicant by order dated 08.05.2025 is hereby confirmed on the condition that he shall attend the concerned Police Station once in a week on Thursday between 10.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m., till filing of the charge-sheet and shall cooperate with the investigating agency.

(3)

1.aba.314.2025

(iii) The applicant shall not induce, threat or promise any witnesses who are acquainted with the facts of the case.

The application is disposed of

(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.)

Sarkate