

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 290/2024

(Ramesh S/o Yelisha Natar Vs. Central Bureau of Intelligence, Anti-Corruption Branch, Nagpur.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Vaibhav K. Nankani, Advocate for petitioner.
 Mr. P.K. Sathianathan, Advocate for respondent.

CORAM: M. M. NERLIKAR, J.

DATED : 19/09/2025.

Heard.

2. By way of prayer clause (a), the petitioner made a composite prayer which is as under:-

“a. Quash the charge-sheet bearing Special Case A.C.B No. 3/2011, having F.I.R No. RC0282009A0002 registered on 28/02/2009 against the petitioner and also set-aside the order dated 06/10/2023 passed by Learned Additional Sessions Judge-2 (Special Judge), Kelapur, District- Yavatmal.”

3. Now so far as the first part of the prayer is concerned, the petitioner is praying for quashing of the charge-sheet. The said prayer cannot be entertained before the Single Judge in view of Chapter I Rule 2 Part II (h)(ii) of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960.

4. So far as the second part of the prayer is concerned, wherein the petitioner challenges the order dated 06.10.2023 passed by the learned Additional

Sessions Judge-2 (Special Judge), Kelapur, District – Yavatmal, wherein his application for discharge was rejected on the ground of maintainability, the learned counsel for petitioner submits that he does not want to press the said part of the prayer and therefore, he accordingly, not pressed the second part of the prayer. He submits that he will pursue the remedy for quashing the charge-sheet. In this view of the matter, criminal writ petition is disposed of.

(M. M. NERLIKAR, J.)

Gohane