



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APPLN) NO. 29 OF 2025

(Arvind Shalikram Shende Vs. State of Maharashtra and anr)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. A.A. Dhawas, Advocate for applicant.
Mr. N.R. Patil, APP for respondent No. 1/State.

CORAM : RAJNISH R. VYAS, JJ.

DATED : 25-09-2025.

Heard.

2. This is an application preferred by the original complainant praying for cancellation of bail of non-applicant No. 2, who is original accused No. 3, in Crime No. 385/2024, registered with Police Station, Pauni, District Bhandara.

3. I have heard learned counsel Mr. Dhawas, for applicant and learned APP Mr. Patil, for respondent No.1/State and gone through the entire case record.

4. Mr. Dhawas has contended that accused is influential and the material on record clearly shows that he was involved in the crime. He further says that amount involved in the crime is huge.

5. Mr. Patil, learned APP has supported the case of applicant. Offence in question was registered on 2.12.2024 under Sections 140(2), 189(2) and 126(2) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita. The occurrence of offence is on 30.11.2024. During the course of investigation of aforesaid crime, accused No. 2 was arrested and produced before the jurisdictional Magistrate on 3.12.2024. The accused were remanded in police custody till 6.12.2024. Thereafter,

vide order dated 13.12.2024, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Bhandara has released the accused on bail with direction that he would attend Police Station on 1st and 15th date of each month. So also, he should cooperate with investigation.

6. The amount involved in the crime is Rs. 10,10,306/- and the accused No. 3 was remanded to police custody for a period of three days. According to reply filed by the Investigating Officer, the custody of present applicant is not required and according to him, charge sheet is already filed. Prima facie, it seems that the dispute between the parties is based upon recovery of amount and due to it, the offence in question came to be lodged.

7. Considering the fact that the Sessions Court has considered the aspect of the case in proper manner, so also, accused was remanded to police custody for three days and charge sheet is already filed, I am not inclined to interfere in the present application. Custody of the applicant is also not required by the Investigating Agency. In that view of the matter, the application being devoid of substance, is rejected.

(RAJNISH R. VYAS, J)