



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CIVIL APPLICATION (S) NO.473 OF 2025

IN

SECOND APPEAL (ST) NO.3335 OF 2025

[Shobha Sureshchandra Bhutada and Another ..Vs.. Sunil Premraj Taori
and Others]

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Mr P. K. Mohta, Advocate for Applicants/Appellants.

Mr P. Sagdeo, Advocate for Non-Applicant/Respondent No.1.

Mr V. B. Bhise, Advocate for Non-Applicant/Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

CORAM : M. W. CHANDWANI, J.

DATE : 30th JULY, 2025.

1. The non-applicant/respondent No.1 tendered the reply across the bar, which is taken on record.

2. Heard.

3. There is delay of 69 days caused in filing the second appeal. The contention is that the applicant No.1 is old aged lady and is not keeping well. Whereas, the applicant No.2 is a medical representative, who travels constantly across Maharashtra, due to which the appeal could not be filed within the limitation period.

4. The application is objected by the non-applicants on the ground that the sufficient cause has not been properly explained.

5. Considering the medical certificate of the applicant No.1 and the fact that the applicant No.2 is a medical representative coupled with the fact that no *malafide* for delay has been brought on record by the non-applicants,

therefore, the delay caused in filing the appeal is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs.2,000/- to be paid to the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Sub-Committee, Nagpur.

JUDGE

Tambe.