



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1145 OF 2019

(MSEDCL vs. M/s. Rukmini Industries and another)

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1150 OF 2019

WRIT PETITION NO. 1147 OF 2019

WRIT PETITION NO. 1149 OF 2019

WRIT PETITION NO. 1148 OF 2019

WRIT PETITION NO. 1151 OF 2019

*Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or the directions,
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Mr. S.V.Purohit, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. H.R.Gadhia, Advocate for respondent No.1.

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.
NOVEMBER 04, 2025

- 1) These petitions are pending for admission considering a common issue involved in these writ petitions, i.e. whether infrastructure cost, which was earlier levied for the purpose of giving electricity connections by the Maharashtra Electricity Distribution Company Limited (M.S.E.D.C.L.), was permissible.
- 2) It is stated that the subject matter in Civil Appeal No.4305/2007 (Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. vs. Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission and another) before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, which has been decided on 10/11/2016, by which the imposition of infrastructure cost has been quashed.
- 3) In that light of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a statement that the M.S.E.D.C.L. has started to

refund the infrastructure cost, as received by them, while granting applications, seeking electricity connections.

- 4) Learned Counsel for the respondent No.1 makes a statement that the infrastructure cost, as paid by his clients in all these petitions, has been received back, and therefore, the grievance in the matter does not survive.
- 5) Statements are accepted and recorded. If the interest and centages are not received then respondent No.1 to take appropriate steps in accordance with law.
- 6) In that light of the matter, nothing survives in these petitions. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

(SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.)