



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 1421 OF 2025

Mohammad Shoeb Sheikh s/o Mohammad Shamin Sheikh
Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through Police Inspector, Police Station Mankapur
District Nagpur and another

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 1422 OF 2025

Najma Sheikh w/o Shamim Sheikh and another
Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through Inspector of Police, Mankapur Police
Station, District Nagpur and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Ms. Moushumi, Advocate h/f Mr. M. A. Qureshi, Advocate for applicants.
Ms. Sneha Dhote, APP for non-applicant No.1/State.
Mr. Inamul Haque, Advocate for the non-applicant No.2.

CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE AND
NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, JJ.
DATED : 18/11/2025

1. Vide order dated 17.10.2025, the First Information Report in question was quashed subject to the payment of costs of Rs.20,000/- by the applicants and Rs.20,000/- by the non-applicant No.2. The office note shows that the payment of costs has not been paid.

2. Ms. Moushumi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. M. A. Qureshi, learned Advocate for applicants makes a statements that the costs has been paid and undertakes to file a pursis on record during the course of day. Statement is accepted.

3. Learned counsel for non-applicant No.2 has also filed a pursis stating that the cost is paid.
4. In that view of the matter, no further orders are necessary.
5. Pursis are taken on record.

(NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J) (URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J)