

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION**

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 5731 OF 2025

IN

COMPANY PETITION NO. 308 OF 1978

Agni Kumar Singh Security Agency

...Applicant/Plaintiff

Versus

M-s.mahindra Ugine Steel Co. Ltd.

...Defendant

WITH

COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 62 OF 2017

IN

COMPANY PETITION NO. 308 OF 1978

The State Of Maharashtra And Anr

...Applicants

Versus

M-s Shree Shakti Mills Ltd (in Liquidation) Through

The Official Liquidator

...Defendant

WITH

OFFICIAL LIQUIDATOR REPORT NO. 71 OF 2023

IN

COMPANY PETITION NO. 308 OF 1978

The Official Liquidator Of Shree Shakti Mills Ltd.

(In Liquidation)

...Applicant

Versus

Mahindra Ugine Steel Co. Ltd. (petitioner)

...Defendant

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2238 OF 2015

IN

COMPANY PETITION NO. 308 OF 1978

Podar Shakti Synhetics Pvt. Ltd & Anr.

...Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Defendant

WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION (WRIT PETITION)(ST) NO. 578 OF 2017

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2238 OF 2015

Podar Shakti Synthetics Pvt. Ltd And Anr.

...Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra And 3 Ors.

And Jayesh S. Kotak (applicant-intervenor)

...Defendant

WITH

COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 213 OF 2014

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2238 OF 2015

Podar Shakti Synthetics Pvt Ltd

...Applicant

Versus

O

...Defendant

WITH

COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 21 OF 2019

IN

COMPANY PETITION NO. 308 OF 1978

Podar Shakti Synthetics Private Limited

...Applicant/Plaintiff

Versus

The Official Liquidator ,high Court

...Defendant

Mr. Aditya R. Pimple a/w Mr. Bhavesh Kadam i/b Mr. Siddharth V. Salvi, for the Applicant in IA/5731/2025.

Mr. Naushad Engineer, Sr. Adv. (through V.C.) a/w Mr. Rushabh Sheth, for Official Liquidator.

Mr. Satyajit Roul, for Official Liquidator, present.

Mr. Ronak Shah a/w Ms. Anushka Divakaran i/b Dhru & Co., for Contributors of Company in liquidator.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 3rd OCTOBER 2025

P.C.

1. The present Interim Application seeks certain payments towards invoices raised by the Applicant for providing security services to the Official Liquidator. Today, there is some dispute as to whether these amounts are to be borne by the Official Liquidator or by the contributories.
2. Learned counsel Mr. Shah, has entered appearance on behalf of the contributories today and today his grievance is that he has not been served with the Interim Application. He points out that though served with a copy of the Interim Application, the contributories are not party.
3. Hence, in this view Mr. Pimple, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Applicant undertakes to implead the contributories as party to the Interim Application. He has granted leave to do so.
4. Let such amendment be carried out within a period of one week from today. Reverification is dispensed with.
5. Stand over to 10th October 2025.
6. In the event, contributories is desirous to file any affidavit, let the same be filed on or before the next date.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)