

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 5062 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL SUIT NO. 328 OF 2018**

Csb Bank Limited	...Applicant
In the matter between	
Vikram B Trivedi	...Plaintiffs
Vs.	
Kalpavruksha Developers & Ors.	...Defendants

Ms Gaurangi Patil a/w Mr. Nikhil Mhetre i/b GP & Associates for Applicant.
Mr. Deepak S. Bhalerao, Second Assistant to Court Receiver.
Ms Apurva Dalal a/w Ms Pooja Yadav for Respondent BMC.
Senior Counsel Mr. Chetan Kapadia, Mr. Rahul Sarda a/w Mr. Sunilkumar
Neelambaran, Advocate i/b Mulla & Mulla & Craigie Blunt & Caroe for the
Original Plaintiff.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.
DATE : 4th NOVEMBER, 2025.

P.C.

1 When the matter was called, Mr. Kapadia, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff, at the outset, did not dispute the fact that the Applicant-bank has a charge on the property in question, however, submitted that the entire interim application could be amicably resolve.

2 Learned Counsel for the Applicant-bank submitted that the

Applicant-bank today has buyers in respect of all the commercial shops bearing Nos.817, 818, 819, 821 and 822 situated at Parekh Market, Mama Parmanand Marg, Opera House, Mumbai. She submitted that the Applicant alongwith such buyers therefore, be permitted to inspect the said premises. Mr. Kapadia submits that there could be no objection to this course of action.

3 Let inspection of the said premises be carried out after possession is handed to the agents of the Court Receiver. The Second Assistant to the Court Receiver, who is present in Court, submits that the inspection time shall be accordingly communicated to the parties.

4 Stand over to 18th November 2025 for direction.

5 In the interregnum the Plaintiff and the Applicant shall endeavour to arrive at an amicable resolution of their dispute.

[ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.]