

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2937 OF 2025

IN

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 32460 OF 2024

LAXMI
SUBHASH
SONTAKKE

Digitally signed by
LAXMI SUBHASH
SONTAKKE
Date: 2025.10.08
11:07:26 +0530

Nitin G. Chavda & Ors.

...Applicants

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Akshay Vitta Management &

Investment Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Mumbai Building Repairs and

Reconstruction Board & Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Mayur Khandeparkar a/w Pooja Batra,, Kavita Sharma, Suraj Iyer i/b. Ganesh
& Co. for Petitioner and for Respondent in IA.

Ms. Prabhu for Respondent No.1 in WP.

Mr. Sanjay Maji i/b. D. M. Legal Association for the Applicant.

Mr. Prashant Kamble, AGP for the State.

**CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
AARTI SATHE, JJ.**

DATE: 7th OCTOBER 2025

P.C.

1. The Interim Application is filed by the Applicant praying to implead them as party Respondents in this Petition.

2. The Applicants are the tenants of the building in question which is a subject matter of the redevelopment, and in regard to which a challenge is mounted in the Petition assailing the orders passed by the Chief Officer of the Mumbai Building Repairs and Reconstruction Board cancelling the NOC granted in favour of the Petitioner in purported exercise of the powers under Section 91A of the MHADA Act, 1976.

3. We are of the opinion that the Applicants need to be made parties to this Petition. Mr. Khandeparkar, the learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner has no objection. We accordingly permit the intervention. Interim Application is accordingly allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). The Applicant/Intervenors be impleaded as party Respondents.

4. The amendment be carried out within a period of two days from today and a copy of the amended petition be served on the parties. Re-verification is dispensed with.

5. The Interim Application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. No costs.

(AARTI SATHE, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)