

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION IN COMM. DIVISION MATTERS NO. 1 OF 2025

Malti Ashok Wadhwani

...Petitioner

Versus

Kumkum Premchand Wadhwani & Ors

...Respondents

Mr. Shanay Shah, a/w Aurup Dasgupta, D. Hemnani, Prapti Bhadra, Hamza Lakhani, i/b Jhangiani Narula & Associates, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ranjeev Carvalho, a/w Nanki Grewal, Manasi Joglekar, i/b Wadia Ghandy & Co., for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : JULY 21, 2025

ORDER :

1. It is stated by the Applicant who was Respondent No. 1 in the original proceedings, that Respondent Nos.3 to 8 did not consent for arbitration. However, Learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.6 to 8 who is present in Court today confirms that these Respondents were indeed represented. In any case, no credible ground for review has been made. Most importantly, Respondent No.1 was present when the Learned Arbitral Tribunal was appointed, and it is not for Respondent No. 1 to bring up the presence or absence of other respondents as a ground for review.

2. The Review Petition is *dismissed* as been devoid of merit.
3. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]