

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO.685 OF 2025

Dimple Enterprises

....Petitioner

Versus

Wework India Management Ltd.

....Respondent

Mr. Abhishek Kothari, *a/w Vyapak Desai, Darshan Bafna, Rishabh Bafna, Shaily Jain, i/b Bafna Law Associates, for Petitioner.*

Appearance not received for Respondent.

CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : OCTOBER 3, 2025

ORDER :

1. Not on Board. Taken on Board by way of a praecipe filed on behalf of the Petitioner.
2. Commercial Arbitration No.685 of 2025 is sought to be revived on the premise that the statements made when the matter was disposed of on September 18, 2025, converting the Petition into an Application under Section 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (*“the Act”*), were incorrect.
3. It is common ground that the Learned Arbitral Tribunal heard the parties on October 1, 2025. However, it is the case of the Petitioner that he discovered that the earlier statements were wrong after the said

hearing. Needless to say, such a grievance must be taken to the Learned Arbitral Tribunal since it is already seized of the matter under Section 17 of the Act.

4. Liberty to approach the Arbitral Tribunal forthwith.

5. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]