

Salgaonkar

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
COURT RECEIVER REPORT NO.442 OF 2025  
IN  
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.485 OF 2009**

Citi Corp Finance India Limited .. Petitioner

**Versus**

Amar B. Keni & Anr. .. Respondents

...

Mr.N.C.Pawar, Court Receiver with Mr.Swayam Chopda, OSD to Court Receiver, present.

None for the Petitioner.

**CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.**

**DATE : 18<sup>th</sup> DECEMBER, 2025**

...

**P.C:-**

1. The Court Receiver has sought discharge in the above Petition. The Court receiver informs that the copy of the Court Receiver Report has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the Petitioner on the E-mail ID available with the office of Court Receiver.

2. None is present for the Petitioner, despite service of Report.

2. The Court Receiver was appointed in respect of hypothecated vehicle. However, the Petitioner has requested to differ the appointment for one month, as certain amount

was received from the Respondent. Hence, no further steps were taken by the Court Receiver in view of statements made by the Petitioner.

3. According to the Court Receiver Report, there has been no further correspondence or communication on part of the Petitioner, as a result no action is taken by the Court Receiver and the matter is simply pending.

In the wake of the above, the Court Receiver Report deserves to be allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b).

Hence, the Court Receiver Report is made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b), which reads thus:-

“(a) The Hon’ble Court may be pleased to discharge the Court Receiver, High Court, Bombay without passing of Account after payment of Costs, charges and expenses of Court Receiver within a stipulated period as may be directed by the Hon’ble Court.

(b) Cost of this report may be quantified at Rs.5,000/- and Petitioner may be directed to deposit the said amount with the Court Receiver.”

**(BHARATI DANGRE, J.)**