
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.39 OF 2025
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.339 OF 2024

Premier Textile ProcessorsPetitioner

Versus

Shree Naman Developers Private LimitedRespondent

Mr. Gaurav Joshi, Senior Advocate *a/w. Mr. Shrey Fatterpekar, Mr. Ameet Mehta, Mr. Aditya Bhatt, Mr. Nirav Marjadi, Mr. Sujith Suresh, Ms. Srushti Mehta, Ms. Rebha Dogra, Mr. Kushal Harnesha & Ms. Torsa De i/b. Solicis Lex, Advocates for Petitioner.*

Mr. Simil Purohit *a/w. Akshay Doctor, Mr. Sahil Harjani, Ms. Aastha Mehta, Ms. Shubhi Dotiya i/b. Desai & Diwanji, Advocates for Respondent.*

CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : NOVEMBER 20, 2025

ORDER :

1. Neither has a reply been filed by the Respondent, nor has anything concrete been submitted about compliance with the order passed under Section 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. By Judgment dated July 4, 2025, the Section 17 order came to be upheld which was further challenged in the Supreme Court and the Special Leave Petition was rejected on August 14, 2025.

AARTI
GAJANAN
PALKAR
Digitally
signed by
AARTI
GAJANAN
PALKAR
Date:
2025.11.24
16:39:01
+0530

2. Needless to say, the writing is on the wall and no further non-compliance with the directions of the Learned Arbitral Tribunal in the Section 17 Order would be acceptable. Before issuing notice for contempt, it is felt appropriate to enable the Learned Senior Advocate to counsel the Respondent and its directors, promoters and those in the management of the Respondent, about the course of action expected from them.

3. The matter is stood over to November 24, 2025 to enable this exercise, and if the non-compliance continues, appropriate action will be taken in the Contempt Petition.

4. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]