

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 39 OF 2025
IN
ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO. 339 OF 2024

Premier Textile Processors

...Petitioner

Versus

Shree Naman Developers Private Limited

...Respondent

Mr. Gaurav Joshi, Senior Advocate, a/w Shrey Fatterpecker, Ameet Mehta, Aditya Bhatt, Nirav Marjadi, Sujith Suresh, Srushti Mehta, Rebha Dogra, Kushal Hamesha, Torsa De, i/b Solicis Lex, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Simil Purohit, a/w Akshay Doctor, Akshay Doctor, Aastha Mehta, i/b Desai & Diwanji, for Respondent.

CORAM : SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : OCTOBER 3, 2025

ORDER :

1. It would be appropriate to call for a reply. Let a reply to the Contempt Petition be filed. Meanwhile, Learned Senior Counsel on behalf of the Respondent has instructions to assure the Court that efforts have been started to comply with the order passed under Section 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, which had been unsuccessfully challenged and has also attained finality after an attempt to challenge it further in the Supreme Court.

Digitally
signed by
ASHWINI
JANARDAN
VALLAKATI
Date:
2025.10.07
10:54:41
+0530

2. The assurance given is that the best efforts would be made to ensure compliance within a period of six weeks from the date of upload of this order. If such compliance is done, it would be taken as an effort towards purging the contempt alleged. Let the reply be filed no later than November 7, 2025.

3. Depending on the nature of the reply and the compliance by the next date, a decision would be taken as to whether to summon the Director of the Respondent with contempt notices being issued to them personally and asking them to explain to the Court as to why appropriate actions civil or criminal should not be taken.

4. Stand over to ***November 10, 2025***.

5. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]