

Before: Shri. P. A. Jagdale,
Officer on Special Duty,
With the Testamentary Department
Date: 22nd December 2025

FOR COMPLIANCE:

130. TP/5197/2025	) Ms. Hetvi Gala Maru Ld. Advocate for the
(AMH20210053447C202500010)	
with	
WILL/2005/2025	) Petitioner

P.C.:

- 1) Petitioner, namely Sukumar Sanjeev Salyankar, filed this petition, being the one of the legatees under the Will executed by JEEVITHA SUKUMAR SALYANKAR (herein after the same is referred to as "Testatrix"), for the grant of a Letters of Administration with Will annexed. The Said Testatrix said to have died at Mumbai on 12-06-2019.
- 2) Perused petition. Heard Ld. Advocate for the petitioner. Perused documents. Petitioner, filed the copy of death certificate, an identity proof of the testator, Will, along with its official translation, petitioner's oath.
- 3) The petitioner has explained the delay vide Rule 382 of the Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rule, 1980(for short "Rules").
- 4) No executor has been appointed under the Will. The petitioner is the One of the legatee under the Will. Hence, the petition is tenable.
- 5) Petitioner stated that the deceased left behind her last Will and Testament, which was duly executed at Mumbai on 10.12.2018, in English language. The Will is handed over separately for being filed and kept in a safe place in the Office of the Prothonotary and Senior Master, High Court, Bombay.
- 6) Ld. The advocate for the petitioner submits that the Testatrix is survived by legal heirs, whose details are given in the petition's paragraph No. 09, as per the provisions of the Hindu Succession Act, 1956. The petitioner affirmed that there are no other legal heirs of the deceased, except as mentioned in the petition. Legal heirs of the testatrix have consented to the petition and thereby waived the service of Citation.
- 7) Citation was issued, which has been duly affixed on the conspicuous part of the Hon'ble High Court and notice board of the Collector's Office at Mumbai and 14 days have been expired vide Rule 397(1) and (4) of the Bombay High Court (O.S.) Rules, 1980(for short "BHC Rules") and an affidavit to that effect has been filed. Notice to collector has been issued vide Rule 396 of BHC Rules. Till today, no one appeared to resist the claim of the petitioner or nothing is brought to the notice regarding pending litigation pertaining to the same properties. Hence, the petition is treated as uncontested one.

8) The petitioner has filed the affidavit of Reshma Sanjiv Salyankar, one of attesting Witnesses to the Will, in form No.102 of Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rules, 1980. She deposed that deceased affixed her thumb impression in her presence. Hence, enough evidence is on record to accept the execution of the Will and petitioner is succeeded to prove the execution of Will. According to her all additions and alteration in a Will were existed at the time of execution before signing the Will. Hence, there is sufficient compliance of the Rule 383 of the Rules. Furthermore, the petitioner has filed additional affidavit of Reshma Sanjiv Salyankar, affirmed on 20.12.2025, she deposed that the petitioner was able to read and write the English Language. However, she was suffering from paralyses and therefore, deceased impressed his thumb on the Will. Hence, it is sufficient compliance of Rule 419 of the BHC Rules.

9) Properties mentioned in the schedule-I of the petition are referred in the Will. Other legatees, namely Viveka and Minal, have given consents to grant Letters of Administration to petitioner.

10) Petitioner has executed the administration Bond in the prescribed format. Hence, following order:

ORDER

- 1) Petition is granted.
- 2) Office to issue Letters of Administration with Will annexed to the petitioner, as per the Rules, having effect throughout India.
- 3) Before issuance of Letters of Administration with Will, the office to verify that there is no cross Petition is pending or caveat is filed. Office to act accordingly.

22nd December 2025

**Officer on Special Duty,
with Testamentary Department**