

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3874 OF 2025

Supreme Petrochem Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India

...Respondent

Mr. Chirag Shetty i/by Economic Law Practice for the Petitioner.

**CORAM : M.S. Sonak &
Advait M. Sethna, JJ.**

DATED : 01 December 2025

P.C.:-

1. Heard Mr. Shetty for the Petitioner. This Petition challenges the order in original dated 13 June 2025 against which the Petitioner has an alternate remedy of an Appeal.
2. Mr. Shetty states that the challenge is on the ground of delayed adjudication and also on merits.
3. In similar circumstances, we have relegated similarly placed Petitioners to avail of the alternate remedy of an Appeal. (See ***Gupta Metal Sheets Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Union of India***, Writ Petition No.3383 of 2025 disposed of on 10 November 2025, ***Shri Balaji Concast Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Union of India***, Writ Petition (L) No.10692 of 2025 disposed of

on 25 November 2025 and *Surendra Agarwal Vs. Union of India*, Writ Petition No.2207 of 2024 and connected matters disposed of on 24 November 2025).

4. In *Surendra Agarwal* (supra), we have given reasons why the Petitioners were relegated to avail of the alternate remedy under the statute. This was after considering the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order in the case of *Union of India & Ors. Vs. GMR Airport Infrastructure Ltd.* (Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (c) No.5392 of 2025).

5. In this case, the Petitioner challenges the impugned order not only on the ground of delayed adjudication but also on merits. As regards delayed adjudication, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has directed the Courts to defer hearings. If this ground of delayed adjudication fails then, it would not be possible for this Court, to decide the matter on merits more so because the Petitioner has alternate and efficacious remedy of an Appeal before a specialized appellate authority.

6. Therefore, by adopting the reasoning in the above orders, we decline to entertain this Petition. The Petitioner is free to Appeal the impugned order and if such Appeal is instituted within four weeks after complying with all legal formalities, then the appellate authority should dispose of such Appeal on its own merits, no doubt, within bounds of the parameters set out by the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order of 2 May 2025 in *GMR Airport Infrastructure Ltd.* (supra). All contentions of all parties are expressly left open. Petition

is disposed of with liberty in the above terms. No costs. All concerned to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

(Advait M. Sethna, J)

(M. S. Sonak, J.)