

Kavita S.J.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3684 OF 2025

Samman Capital Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

Mack Star Marketing Private Limited & Ors., ...Respondents

Ms. Somya i/b T.N. Tripathi & Co., for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rohan Rajadhyaksha a/w Mr. Prakshal Jain i/b Trilegal for
Respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

CORAM : R.I. CHAGLA, J.
FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.

DATED : 10th OCTOBER, 2025.

ORDER :

1. Matter mentioned. Not on board, taken on board.
2. By praecipe bearing today's date, the learned Advocate appearing for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 has sought for extension of time for disposal of the Securitisation Application and to extend the interim stay granted by the DRAT order. By the Order dated 15th September 2025, this Court had directed the DRT, Mumbai to hear and dispose of the Securitisation Application No.150 of 2021, within

KAVITA
SUSHIL
JADHAV

Digitally signed
by KAVITA
SUSHIL JADHAV
Date: 2025.10.13
17:56:49 +0530

a period of four weeks from impugned Order dated 18th July, 2025 which was continued for a period of five weeks from the date of the said order.

3. Mr. Rajadhyaksha, learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 has submitted that despite best efforts of the Presiding Officer of the DRT-II, Mumbai the hearing of the Securitisation Application has not been concluded and is being heard on a day-today basis. He has sought for extension of time for the hearing and disposal of the Securitisation Application No.150 of 2021. He has submitted that there is the ensuing Diwali Vacation and accordingly, appropriate time be granted.

4. Having considered the submission of Mr. Rajadhyaksha, we consider it appropriate to grant a period of three weeks for the DRT, Mumbai to hear and dispose of the Securitisation Application No.150 of 2021.

5. The impugned Order dated 18th July, 2025 shall continue to operate for a period of one week thereafter.

[FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.]

[R.I. CHAGLA, J.]