

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2546 OF 2025

Lakdawala Homes Pvt. Ltd.	...Petitioner
Versus	
Slum Rehabilitation Authority	...Respondents

Mr. Santosh Pathak i/b. Archana Karmokar, for Petitioner.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
 AARTI SATHE, JJ.

DATE: 17th October 2025

P.C.

1. Not on board. Taken on board
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner. The Respondent – SRA although served is not represented. We find that there are several prayers which are made in the Petition. However, learned counsel for the Petitioner has confined the prayers as made in the Petition, only to the extent that the application of the Petitioner for a Letter of Intent (LOI) to be issued, be considered and be decided expeditiously by Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in accordance with law.
3. We are inclined to consider such prayer made on behalf of the Petitioner, as no prejudice would be caused to the Respondent, who are not represented. Any decision regarding the Letter of Intent (LOI) is required to be taken in accordance with law and only after hearing all concerned parties.
4. All the contentions of the parties in that regard are expressly kept open.

MANISH
SURESHRAO
THATTE

Digitally signed by
MANISH
SURESHRAO
THATTE
Date: 2025.10.18
18:26:11 +0530

5. We accordingly dispose of this Petition directing Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to process the application of the Petitioner for LOI as expeditiously as possible and in any event within a period of 6 weeks from today and in accordance with law.

6. Disposed of. No costs.

(AARTI SATHE, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)