

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION No. 2472 of 2025

Netrekrishan Deraj Puri & Anr.

...Petitioners

VS

Slum Rehabilitation Authority & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Zubin Behramkamdin, Senior Advocate with Mr. Bhupesh, Nitya Parikh, Aditi Bhargava, Saurabh Jain i/b. Divya Shah Associates, for Petitioners.

Ms. Priyanka N. Bhadrashete, for Respondent No.1-SRA.

Ms. Pushpa Yadav i/b. Ms. Komal Punjabi, for BMC.

Ms. Poonam Mittal, AGP for the State.

**CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
AARTI SATHE, JJ.**

DATE: 14 October 2025

P.C.

1. In the present petition the Court needs to be informed as to how the project in question is the SRA project so that Chief Executive Officer, Slum Rehabilitation Authority, can exercise jurisdiction. This would be required to be explained on affidavit, which be filed by CEO, SRA.

2. In the event, after hearing learned Counsel for the parties, the Court comes to a conclusion that the SRA is not at all concerned, further orders would be required to be passed including on the legality of the construction. In the meantime, it is open to the petitioners to enter into the Permanent Alternate Accommodation Agreements in regard to the adequate area which would be legally entitled to the petitioners, and all necessary formalities in this regard be completed on or before the adjourned date of hearing, which shall be without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties and what has been observed by us hereinabove.

3. Stand over to **17 November 2025. HOB.**

4. At this stage we are informed by learned Counsel for the developer that there is a clubbing of the schemes and therefore, the provisions of Regulation 33(10) of the DCPR would be applicable. If this be so, not only the SRA but also the developer is required to explain the detail thereof on affidavit. Let a reply affidavit be filed by the developer.

(AARTI SATHE, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)