

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 557 OF 2025

Sany Heavy Industry India Pvt Ltd .. Petitioner
through Authorized Representative Mr
Pramod Purohit

Versus

Sri Buddha Engineering and .. Respondent
Construction Pvt Ltd thr its Mrs.
Koditham Vara Lakshmi

...

Ms. Bijal Gogri i/b GNP Legal for the Petitioner.

CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.
DATED : 20th NOVEMBER 2025

P.C:-

1. Despite private service having been effected upon respondent nos.1 and 2, and an affidavit to that effect having been filed before the Court, there is no appearance on behalf of the respondents. In any case, since the notice effected is shown to be served in the wake of the tracking report and no acknowledgment is produced, there is no proof of the notice being actually received by respondent nos.1 and 2.
2. In these circumstances, I deem it appropriate to issue notice to the respondents, by making it returnable on 11/12/2025.

In addition to Court notice, the notice is also permitted to be served through private mode of service, including service by electronic means.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)