

KANCHAN
PRASHANT
DHURI

Digitally signed
by KANCHAN
PRASHANT
DHURI
Date:
2025.10.14
11:34:23
+0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2296 OF 2025

IN

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1307 OF 2019

Kishor N Shah

... Applicant

Versus

Urban Infrastructure Real Estate Fund

... Respondent

WITH

COMMERCIAL EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2020

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3950 OF 2023

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1642 OF 2024

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 910 OF 2022

IN

COMMERCIAL EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2020

WITH

COMMERCIAL EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 195 OF 2020

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1522 OF 2022

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3949 OF 2023

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3920 OF 2025

IN

COMMERCIAL EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 195 OF 2020

WITH

COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1238 OF 2019

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2297 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1238 OF 2019
WITH
COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1307 OF 2019

.....

Mr. Sharad Bansal alongwith Ms. Etika Srivastava, Mr. Aman Sadiwala, Ms. Nishi Doshi, Ms. Abhyarathna Singh and Ms. Aanchal Aamchar Maheshwari instructed by M/s. Rashmikanth & Partners, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. Sharan Jagtiani, Senior Advocate alongwith Mr. Aditya Mehta, Mr. Siddharth Joshi instructed by Dastur Kalambi and Associates, Advocate for the Respondent No.1.

Mr. Zal Andhyarujina, Senior Advocate alongwith Mr. Aditya Mehta, Mr. Jahaan Dastur instructed by Dastur Kalambi & Associates, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

Mr. Kushan Kode alongwith Ms. Sharanya Sahadev instructed by Vashi and Vashi, Advocate for the Respondent No.7.

.....

CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA, J.
DATE : 13 OCTOBER 2025

P.C. :

1. Today, this matter has been listed for Mr. Andhyarujina, learned Senior Counsel and Mr. Jagtiani, learned Senior Counsel, to respond.

2. However, when the matter is called out, both the learned Senior Counsel submit that in order to expedite the matter, there is a

without prejudice proposal to have the Interim Applications for amendment allowed in terms of the schedules, subject to all rights, contentions and objections of the Respondents including those contained in the without prejudice submissions in paragraphs 2 to 5 kept open at the hearing of the Petitions under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

3. The said without prejudice proposal is also handed over to the learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant.

4. Mr. Bansal, learned Counsel, appearing for the Applicant seeks some time to take instructions on the said without prejudice proposal.

5. List on **17th October 2025 on the Supplementary Board.**

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)