

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1386 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL SUTT NO.71 OF 2024**

M/s Metacan IndustriesApplicant
IN THE MATTER BETWEEN
Palm Grove Beach Pvt Ltd. & Anr.Plaintiffs
Versus
Raheja Plaza Co-op Hsc Soc. & Ors.Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.725 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL SUTT NO.71 OF 2024**

Hacienda Leasing & Infotech Private LimitedApplicant
IN THE MATTER BETWEEN
Palm Grove Beach Pvt Ltd. & Anr.Plaintiffs
Versus
Raheja Plaza Co-op Hsc Soc. & Ors.Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.523 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL SUTT NO.71 OF 2024**

Nikhil Jain and Ors.Applicants
IN THE MATTER BETWEEN
Palm Grove Beach Pvt Ltd. & Anr.Plaintiffs
Versus
Raheja Plaza Co-op Hsc Soc. & Ors.Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1574 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL SUTT NO.71 OF 2024**

Mita Nalin DoshiApplicant
IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Palm Grove Beach Pvt Ltd. & Anr.
Versus
Raheja Plaza Co-op Hsc Soc. & Ors.

....Plaintiffs
....Respondents

Mr. Dhruv Gandhi a/w. *Ms Ronak Mistry i/b. SMA Law Partners, Advocates for Applicant in IA/1386/2025, IA/523/2025, IA/1574/2025 & Defendant Nos.7, 8, 10 to 12, & 17 to 222 in COMS/71/2024.*

Mr. Hrushi Narvekar a/w. *Reeham Ajmervalla i/b. Ms Madhu Hiraskar, Advocates for Plaintiff.*

Mr. Rahul Moghe a/w. *Ms Sweta Moghe i/b. Sweta Mehta & Girdharlal, Advocates for Defendant Nos.1, 2, 3, 4, 15 & 16.*

Mr. Anil R. Mishra, *Advocate for Defendant Nos.5 & 6.*

Mr. Brian Naronha a/w. *Amisha Upadhyay i/b. India Law LLP, Advocates for Defendant No.14.*

Mr. Anupan Surve a/w. *Ms Hilla Boatwalla i/b. Nanu Hormasjee, for Defendant No.23.*

CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : NOVEMBER 4, 2025

ORDER :

1. Heard all the four captioned Interim Applications together.
2. Learned Counsel for the parties have meticulously taken me through the electronic acknowledgment generated by the server of this Court to demonstrate when the e-filing has been made. It is seen that in each case, the upload has taken place just within the 120-day deadline applicable to commercial disputes.

3. In these circumstances, while much has been said across the bar, it is not necessary to burden this order with details of the submissions since it is fairly pointed out that the filings are just within deadline.
4. The issue of costs in respect of the condonation is left open for consideration when the main proceedings are conducted.
5. In these circumstances, all the four Interim Applications are hereby *finally disposed of*.
6. List the main matter under the caption ***“For Directions”*** on ***November 18, 2025***.
7. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court’s website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]