

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION

COMMERCIAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO. 66 OF 2025

Huhtamaki India Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

The Patent Office of India Through

The Controller General of Patents

...Respondent

Mr. Hiten Kamod a/w J. Jhala, Nisha A., Dhiren Karania and Jitesh M., i/b
Khaitan & Co., for Petitioner.

Mr. Mahesh Shukla for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Ms. Vindhya Mani a/b Mr. Sriram Sridharan for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 21st NOVEMBER 2025

P.C.

1. Heard Mr. Kamod, learned counsel for the petitioner. Today his arguments stand concluded.

2. Heard Ms. Mani, learned counsel for Respondent No.3. Her arguments remain incomplete.

3. It is now not in dispute that Respondent Nos.1 & 2 have been served and have chosen not to file any affidavit in reply. However, I shall hear the submissions of learned counsel appearing on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 3.

4. Mr. Kamod has pointed out the affidavit of service to show that Respondent Nos.1 and 2 have been served and however, serves a copy of the petition upon the learned counsel for Respondent Nos.1 and 2 in the Court today.

5. Stand over to **2nd December 2025** at 4.00 p.m.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)